

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6058 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28011 OF 2005
IN
L.A.R. NO. 464 OF 1993**

The State of Maharashtra	.. Applicant
V/s	
Eknath Shivram Shelar & Anr.	.. Respondents

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.	
Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for	
Respondents.	

WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6060 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28015 OF 2005
IN
L.A.R. NO. 439 OF 1993**

The State of Maharashtra	.. Applicant
V/s	
Adinath Dagadu Shelar & Ors.	.. Respondents

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.	
Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for	
Respondents.	

WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6062 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28024 OF 2005
IN
L.A.R. NO. 440 OF 1993**

The State of Maharashtra	.. Applicant
V/s	
Bhikudas Shankar Jadhav	.. Respondent

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.	
Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for	
Respondent.	

WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6065 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28059 OF 2005
IN
L.A.R. NO. 441 OF 1993**

The State of Maharashtra	.. Applicant
V/s	
Dilip Shankar Nagare & Ors.	.. Respondents

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.
Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for
Respondents.

WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6067 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28063 OF 2005
IN
L.A.R. NO. 442 OF 1993**

The State of Maharashtra

.. Applicant

V/s

Dadu Shankar Kuchekar

.. Respondent

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.
Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for
Respondent.

WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6069 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28068 OF 2005
IN
L.A.R. NO. 443 OF 1993**

The State of Maharashtra

.. Applicant

V/s

Haribhau Shankar Rokade & Anr.

.. Respondents

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.
Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for
Respondents.

WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6071 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28074 OF 2005
IN
L.A.R. NO. 444 OF 1993**

The State of Maharashtra

.. Applicant

V/s

Namdeo Bhagwan Inje & Ors.

.. Respondents

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.
Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for
Respondents.

WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6074 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28079 OF 2005
IN
L.A.R. NO. 445 OF 1993**

The State of Maharashtra
V/s
Chandrabhaga Govind Shelar & Ors.

.. Applicant
.. Respondents

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.
Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for
Respondents.

WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6077 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28085 OF 2005
IN
L.A.R. NO. 446 OF 1993**

The State of Maharashtra
V/s
Jayashri Jagannath Bande & Ors.

.. Applicant
.. Respondents

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.
Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for
Respondents.

WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6079 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28092 OF 2005
IN
L.A.R. NO. 447 OF 1993**

The State of Maharashtra
V/s
Kisan Pandurang Kale

.. Applicant
.. Respondent

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.
Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for
Respondent.

WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6081 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28097 OF 2005
IN
L.A.R. NO. 448 OF 1993**

The State of Maharashtra
V/s
Kondiba Tukaram Shelar (Deceased) &ors.. Respondents

.. Applicant

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.
Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for
Respondents

WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6083 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28105 OF 2005
IN
L.A.R. NO. 449 OF 1993**

The State of Maharashtra

V/s

Mahadeo Shankar Shelar

.. Applicant

.. Respondent

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.

Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for
Respondent.

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6085 OF 2005

IN

FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28110 OF 2005

IN

L.A.R. NO. 450 OF 1993

The State of Maharashtra

V/s

Narayan Ramchandra Bande

.. Applicant

.. Respondent

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.

Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for
Respondent.

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6087 OF 2005

IN

FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28114 OF 2005

IN

L.A.R. NO. 452 OF 1993

The State of Maharashtra

V/s

Sakharam Dagadu Bande (deceased) & Ors.. Respondents

.. Applicant

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.

Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for
Respondents.

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6089 OF 2005

IN

FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28119 OF 2005

IN

L.A.R. NO. 453 OF 1993

The State of Maharashtra

V/s

Mahadu Tulshiram Bande

.. Applicant

.. Respondent

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.

Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for
Respondent.

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6091 OF 2005

IN

FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28123 OF 2005

IN

L.A.R. NO. 454 OF 1993

The State of Maharashtra
V/s
Mahadu Dhondi Wagajkar

.. Applicant
.. Respondent

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.
Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for
Respondent.

WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6093 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28169 OF 2005
IN
L.A.R. NO. 455 OF 1993**

The State of Maharashtra
V/s
Keru Gana Shelar & Ors.

.. Applicant
.. Respondents

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.
Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for
Respondents.

WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6095 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28172 OF 2005
IN
L.A.R. NO. 456 OF 1993**

The State of Maharashtra
V/s
Vithal Santu Shelar & Ors.

.. Applicant
.. Respondents

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.
Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for
Respondents.

WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6097 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28175 OF 2005
IN
L.A.R. NO. 458 OF 1993**

The State of Maharashtra
V/s
Sopan Harichandra Shelar

.. Applicant
.. Respondent

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.
Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for
Respondent.

WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6099 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28178 OF 2005
IN
L.A.R. NO. 459 OF 1993**

The State of Maharashtra

V/s

Samba Shripati Bande

.. Applicant

.. Respondent

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.

Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for
Respondent.

WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6107 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28181 OF 2005
IN
L.A.R. NO. 460 OF 1993**

The State of Maharashtra

V/s

Laxman Shankar Kale & Ors.

.. Applicant

.. Respondents

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.

Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for
Respondents.

WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6110 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28184 OF 2005
IN
L.A.R. NO. 461 OF 1993**

The State of Maharashtra

V/s

Jagannath Pandurang Bhong & Anr.

.. Applicant

.. Respondents

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.

Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for
Respondents.

WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6112 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28187 OF 2005
IN
L.A.R. NO. 462 OF 1993**

The State of Maharashtra

V/s

Nivrutti Balwant Bansode

.. Applicant

.. Respondent

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.

Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for
Respondent.

WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6114 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28190 OF 2005
IN
L.A.R. NO. 465 OF 1993**

The State of Maharashtra
V/s
Hanmant Laxman Bansode

.. Applicant
.. Respondent

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.
Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for
Respondent.

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6116 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28193 OF 2005
IN
L.A.R. NO. 466 OF 1993

The State of Maharashtra
V/s
Hemant Prataprao Deshmukh

.. Applicant
.. Respondent

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.
Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for
Respondent.

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6118 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28196 OF 2005
IN
L.A.R. NO. 468 OF 1993

The State of Maharashtra
V/s
Malanbai Ramchandra Bankar

.. Applicant
.. Respondent

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.
Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for
Respondent.

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6120 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST)NO.28200 OF 2005
IN
L.A.R. NO. 470 OF 1993

The State of Maharashtra
V/s
Suresh Vishvanath Shelar & Anr.

.. Applicant
.. Respondents

Ms.Geeta Mulekar for the Applicant.
Mr.Arvind Purohit i/b. Ms.Pallavi H. Potnis for
Respondents.

CORAM : DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN,J.

DATE : 17TH JULY, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the parties.

Perused the Civil Applications. By these Civil Applications, the Applicants are seeking condonation of delay in filing the above Appeals. For the reasons stated in the Civil Applications, sufficient cause is made out for condoning the delay. There is no case of inaction, negligence or want of bonafide on the part of the Applicants. Hence, Civil Application is made absolute in terms of prayer clause(b).

(DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN,J.)